## REMARKS/ARGUMENT

Applicants respond herein to the Office Action dated January 29, 2007. Claims 1, 3-17, 19, 21-25, 27-35 and 37 were rejected in the Office Action. Claims 2, 18, 20 and 36 were indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Applicants thank the Examiner for this indication of allowable subject matter. In accordance with this indication, Applicants canceled allowable Claims 2 and 20 and included all of their limitations in their base independent Claims 1 and 19, respectively. Accordingly, Claim 1 now recites all limitations of the allowable Claim 2, and Claim 19 now recites all limitations of the allowable Claim 20. Accordingly, Claims 1 and 19 are in condition for allowance.

Claims 3-18 and 21-36 depend on the allowable Claims 1 and 19, respectively. Therefore Claims 3-18 and 21-36 are allowable for the same reasons as Claims 1 and 19, and further on their own merits. The remaining independent Claim 37 was canceled by Applicants.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, allowance of claims 1, 2-19 and 21-36 is respectfully requested. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the application, allow the claims as amended and pass this case to issue.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on April 30, 2007:

Max Moskowitz

Name of applicant, assignee or Registered Representative

Respectfully submitted,

Max Moskowitz

Registration No.: 30,576

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700

Signature

April 30, 2007 Date of Signature